

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/823,901 | 04/14/2004 | Florian Patrick Nierhaus | 2003P18698US | 6111 | |
| Siemens Corps | 7590 10/01/200 oration | EXAMINER | | | |
| Attn: Elsa Kel | ler, Legal Administrator | GAY, SONIA L | | | |
| 170 Wood Ave | operty Department enue South | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Iselin, NJ 0883 | 30 | | 2614 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/01/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | 10/823,901 | NIERHAUS, FLORIAN PATRICK | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | SONIA GAY | 2614 | | |

| | SONIA GAY | 2614 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| n). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the proint of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee te action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | | he issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| 13. [Otilet | | | |
| /Ahmad F. Matar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614 | Examiner: Sonia Gay | • | |

Continuation of 3, NOTE: independent claims 1 and 11 now recite "transmitting the converted first endpoint data to the 'first endpoint' and the second endpoint." The addition of "first endpoint" to the claims' language requires further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the the proposed amendent of daims 1 and 11 discussed above in part 3. Since the amendment raises new issues and has not been entered, applicant's arguments have been considered and are moot.